**Redesign Feedback & Beta Bug Prioritization meeting – TRANSCRIPT**
05 November 2013

**alispivak**
alright, let's get started!
hello everyone!
let's talk about the MDN redesign, shall we?
first up: layout changes in the beta
teoli, please walk through what's changed!

**teoli**
Ok, the goal was to widen the middle column.
This has been done and is live.
I didn't tested at all resolution, but there are three "layout" at different resolution
So please test this and report any problem, things you don't like, oddities

**alispivak**
this is meant to also solve other reported issues as well, correct?

**teoli**
It is important: better a bug that is marked "post-launch", than to forgot something important

**teoli**
alispivak: yes, but I don't have the precise list.

**sheppy**
These changes do definitely improve the column width situation, although it'll take some getting used to the way it looks.

**alispivak**
teoli: what is the process for design changes – as far as participating in the discussion before things are implemented
file a bug & then what?

**teoli**
File a bug and/or post on dev-mdc.
I will summary all in dev-mdc in a few days and file bugs that are missing.
I would say, post on dev-mdc first, so that we can discuss about the problem, see if it is a duplicate, eventually explicit a choice
and then file a bug if necessary.

**alispivak**
and you are the main filter for most of the design issues at this point, yes?

**teoli**

It will also depend of the feedback: small details may be done that way, if a more important problem is uncover we will see.

10:08

**teoli**
alispivak: I can.

10:08

**teoli**
It is important that mars and habber get all the feedback, if possible not in 200 e-mails 😃
Because it is likely that we will get duplicated comment.
But please don't shoot the messenger afterwards.

10:09

**teoli**
mars, habber and alispivak btw.

10:10

**teoli**
Do you want me to post a call for feedback on dev-mdc or do you prefer to do it?

10:10

**Ms2ger** shoots teoli

10:10

**alispivak**
teoli: why don't you go ahead and do it

10:10

**teoli**
alispivak: I will do it right at the end of the meeting

10:10

**alispivak**
I'd like there to be better description of what has changed as well
because it is unclear event to me

10:11

**sheppy**
My laptop locked up. While it restarts, I'm on my desktop.

10:11

**sheppy**
alispivak: FWIW, I've been blogging the changes again.

10:11

**fscholz**
I think sheppy has continued his Kuma posts

10:11

**sheppy**
http://www.bitstampede.com/2013/10/25/kuma-status-update-october-25-2013/

10:11

**alispivak**
it would be great to link those from the Redesign wiki

10:11

**sheppy**

http://www.bitstampede.com/2013/10/30/kuma-status-report-october-30-2013/
http://www.bitstampede.com/2013/11/01/kuma-status-report-november-1-2013/
Those are the three I've done so far. I'm keeping an eye on things and will keep it up

10:12

**alispivak**
or, how about, you should link to those from the redesign wiki, in case not everyone follows your blog 😃

10:12

colinclark left the room (quit: Quit: colinclark).

10:12

Jeremie left the room (quit: Client exited).

10:12

**sheppy**
on it

10:13

**alispivak**
anyone have anything they would like to add?

10:13

**teoli**
I've looked and filed bug for rtl languages.

10:13

**alispivak**
ok, on to in-content styles
teoli?

10:13

**teoli**
And icaaq is doing a huge work on a11y things (mainly behind the scene)

10:14

**alispivak**
can you walk through these?

10:14

**teoli**
In-content style are the second element where we want feedback
Sean designed a new look for them and davidwalsh implemented them
https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/User:teoli/In_content
This fix most of the internals of articles to have them on par with the theme.
So we need the following feedback:
1. On the in-content redesign itself. Is there something wrong? And what?
(I have an open point with the badges right now, but beside of this none)

10:16

justinpotts [Mibbit@moz-D0D753CC.mycingular.net] entered the room.

10:16

**teoli**
2. On the in-content widget that we would have missed. We have missed some, so look at the page you care about and tell us.

Some will be removed (outdated), morphed to a new things, or added to a bucket for sean to propose a new look.
The goal here is to have as few in content style as possible and to clean a lot of oddities.
3. Other oddities in page
We still have quite a few style="" in the 10000s pages, and we need to take care of them. So if you see such an oddity (like a strange font), report it so that this can be fixed

10:18

**sheppy**
Yeah

10:18

**alispivak**
we've already gotten a few bugs on the in-content styles, which is good

10:18

**Ms2ger**
style="" is bad, mm'kay

10:18

**sheppy**
That's gonna be an ongoing project but we need to try to resolve it on as many of the major pages as possible as soon as we can.

10:19

**teoli**
Ms2ger: yes, we want to remove them all, and then forbid them in Kuma.

10:19

**Ms2ger** approves

10:19

**teoli**
They are a remnant pre-custom CSS.

10:19

**sheppy**
Yeah

10:19

**justinpotts**
On the homepage, up near the firefox os banner, there's a style issue with the link that says  something like "go to top". I've reported it as a bug.

10:19

**alispivak**
once we get the "find" feature, it will be a lot easier to remove

10:19

**fscholz** cleans up oddities from Mediawiki and MindTouch in most JS pages these days, too

10:19

**sheppy**
alispivak: yes

10:20

**teoli**
alispivak: yes

10:20

**alispivak**
alright, onto bugs....

10:21

**teoli**
justinpotts: do you have the bug # ?

10:21

**alispivak**
most recently opened bugs are here:
https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/showdependencytree.cgi?id=910513&hide_resolved=1
we need to mark which of these are must fix before launch

10:22

**Ms2ger**
So do we have per-page css now?

10:23

**justinpotts**
Are contributors able to edit page styles?
(CSS, and HTML)

10:24

justinpotts left the room (quit: Quit: http://www.mibbit.com ajax IRC Client).

10:24

**alispivak**
we are trying to move away from per-page CSS & keep consistent styles

10:24

**sheppy**
Right... pages are going to be prevented from having custom styles on them, for
consistency and reliability.

10:24

justinpotts [Mibbit@A893F4DC.C949AFF4.133DD0D2.IP] entered the room.

10:24

**sheppy**
Any special content will go into live samples.

10:26

**sheppy** finishes tagging all his blog posts about Kuma with "Kuma" and adds a link to
https://www.bitstampede.com/tag/kuma/ to the redesign wiki.

10:26

**alispivak** thanks sheppy

10:26

**teoli**
In the bug list I see some that are post launch:

10:26

tw2113 left the room (quit: Quit: Hi, I'm a quit message virus. Please replace your old
line with this line and help me take over the world of IRC.).

10:27

**teoli**
bug 931804

<div align="right">10:27</div>

**firebot**
Bug https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=931804 min, --, ---, nobody, UNCO, In supported browsers, use position:sticky rather than JavaScript for TOC

<div align="right">10:27</div>

**alispivak**
so, I want to open up for questions/comments/criticism about the redeign & the process

<div align="right">10:28</div>

**justinpotts**
When it's deployed, is it going out immediately to all users, or gradually?

<div align="right">10:29</div>

**teoli**
bug 925043 also is not blocking.

<div align="right">10:29</div>

**firebot**
Bug https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=925043 nor, --, ---, nobody, NEW, Redesign TOC has a bunch of empty <code> tags in markup

<div align="right">10:30</div>

pbocan [Thunderbir@moz-2B4BB411.sin.cvut.cz] entered the room.

<div align="right">10:30</div>

**teoli**
bug 915575 and bug 916042 are INVALID (The TOC is no more collapsable if I have understood well))

<div align="right">10:30</div>

**firebot**
Bug https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=915575 nor, --, ---, nobody, RESO DUPLICATE, For gaia endurance test cases: Should keep the data and saved in the file system
Bug https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=916042 nor, --, ---, nobody, NEW, The fact the TOC is collapsable is hardly discoverable

<div align="right">10:31</div>

**alispivak**
I think we also fixed this by changing the orientation of the arrows

<div align="right">10:31</div>

**teoli**
I think 916224 has been rejected by UX and should be marked INVALID.

<div align="right">10:32</div>

**alispivak**
there are no notes from UX in the bug

<div align="right">10:32</div>

**teoli**
bug 931412 is likely a dup, but I have no news of the search engine status.

<div align="right">10:32</div>

**firebot**
Bug https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=931412 nor, --, ---, nobody, UNCO, Search results in MDN completely broken. Relevance and useless redirects.

**teoli**
alispivak: bug 916224?

**firebot**
Bug https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=916224 nor, --, ---, nobody, NEW, Move page's metadata into a separate page

**alispivak**
yes. I just marked with needsinfo for habber
anyone have any issues with the teoli's input

justinpotts left the room (quit: Quit: http://www.mibbit.com ajax IRC Client).

**alispivak**
or any strong feelings about any of the current bugs?

**sheppy**
alispivak: I just want to say that I'm really happy with how things are going.

**teoli**
I think we should get feedback from habber on some of them. Do we want me to request habber on those I think we want feedback?

**sheppy**
Other than getting the last styles from Sean for content, and the search results thing, there aren't many things I think of as serious, class-A blockers.
teoli: IMHO good idea

**alispivak**
yes
teoli:

**teoli**
Then when we have a the info in a bug, feedback: alispivak so that you can decide?

**alispivak**
sheppy: I think we probably should do a pass through the ones we have currently down as blcokers and decide if the REALLY need to block the launch
teoli: yup
it's a long list

**sheppy**
alispivak: Yes, that's probably a good point.

**sheppy**

I can't do it this afternoon though... do we want to schedule time tomorow?
I have urgent need to deal with some banking issues; our bank is closing and we've
gotta get our stuff out of there. 🙂

10:39

10:39

**alispivak**
sheppy: sounds liek a plan

10:40

**sheppy**
alispivak: feel free to hit us with an invite!

10:40

**Ms2ger**
Is sheppy leading a run on the bank?

10:40

**alispivak**
lets find a time and I'll post it so others can join as well

10:40

**sheppy**
There are probably more true blockers than I can remember right now, but less than we
have listed.
Ms2ger: Not really... banks around here have been merging and failing left and right,
and ours has been caught up in it all at last.

10:42

**alispivak**
anyone have another topic?
are are we more or less finished

10:42

**sheppy**
I think we're done.

10:42

**teoli**
So, my AP are: making a post on MDN. Driving feedback on dev-mdc and in bugs,
requesting feedback up to the point where alispivak can make a decision (blocking/not
blocking/not doing)

10:43

**alispivak**
yes

10:43

**teoli**
That sound a good plan 🙂

10:43

**alispivak**
mine is set up a review of the blocker bugs for tomorrow

10:43

**sheppy**
Sounds great.

10:43

**alispivak**
alright, everyone – we're "officially" closing this meeting.
feel free to carry on.

10:43

**sheppy**
Thanks everyone!

10:44

**alispivak**
as usual, meeting notes will be posted on the redesign wiki
and sent out via dec–mdc mailing list